Durvalumab plus chemotherapy in patients with advanced EGFR mutation-positive NSCLC whose disease progressed on first-line Osimertinib: an ORCHARD study interim analysis

Dr Ajaykumar Singh

Background

- Osimertinib is an irreversible, oral EGFR-tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) that potently and selectively inhibits EGFR TKIsensitising mutations and EGFR T790M resistance mutations, with efficacy in EGFRm NSCLC, including central nervous system metastases.
- Osimertinib is the preferred 1L treatment in patients with advanced EGFRm NSCLC; however, tumours treated with Osimertinib may eventually develop treatment resistance.

Background



Figure 1. Mechanisms of resistance to osimetinib and potential strategies of treatments to overcome resistance. CT, chemotherapy; IO, immunotherapy. *Activity demonstrated across resistance mechanisms.

| Table 1. Ongoing clinical trials on acquired resistance to osimertinib in EGFR-mutated NSCLC | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---|--|----------------------|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|
| Phase | Clinical trial number | Drug(s) class | NSCLC trial Population | Line of treatment | Treatment arm(s) | Primary endpoint | Status | | |
| III | NCT03515837 (KEYNOTE 789) | Combination of PD-1 inhibitor with CT | EGFR mutated | 2-3 | Experimental: Pembrolizumab + pemetrexed + chemo Active comparator: Placebo + pemetrexed + chemo | - PFS, OS | Recruiting | | |
| II | NCT03778229 (SAVANNAH) | MET inhibitors | EGFR mutated with MET amplification/high expression | $2 \le n \le 4$ | Osimertinib + savolitinib | - ORR | Recruiting | | |
| II | NCT03944772 (ORCHARD) | MET inhibitors, first-generation anti-EGFR-TKI, anti-EGFR mAbs, combination of CT plus anti-PD-L1 mAbs | EGFR mutated | 2 | Osimertinib + savolitinib Osimertinib + gefitinib Osimertinib + necitumumab Durvalumab + carboplatin + pemetrexed | - ORR | Recruiting | | |
| II | NCT03940703 (INSIGHT-2) | MET inhibitor | EGFR mutated with MET amplification | ≥1 | Tepotinib and osimertinib | Safety ORR | Recruiting | | |
| 1/11 | NCT03784599 (TRAEMOS) | Anti-HER2-conjugated antibody | EGFR-mutated NSCLC and HER2 amplification or high expression | ≥2 | Trastuzumab—emtansine and osimertinib | Safety ORR | Recruiting | | |
| lb | NCT04001777 | Bcl-2 family protein inhibitor | EGFR-mutated third-generation TKI resistant or treatment naive | Any lines | APG-1252 plus osimertinib | - MTD - RP2D | Recruiting | | |
| 1 | NCT03891615 | PARP inhibitor | EGFR mutated | ≥2 | Osimertinib + niraparib | - MTD | Recruiting | | |
| Phase I | NCT03516214 (EATON) | Third-generation anti-EGFR-TKI, MEK inhibitor | EGFR mutated, including TKI naive | Any lines | Nazartinib and trametinib | - MTD - RP2D | Recruiting | | |
| Phase II | NCT02759835 | _ | EGFR mut oligoprogressive disease (no more than five sites of progressive disease) | ≥1 | Osimertinib followed by LAT followed by osimertinib LAT followed by osimertinib | - PFS2 | Active, not recruiting | | |
| II | NCT04136535 (ALTER-L031) | Multitarget TKI | EGFR mutated | ≥ 1 | Pemetrexed and carboplatin with or without anlotinib | - PFS | Active not yet recruiting | | |
| Ш | NCT03532698 | NSAID | EGFR mutated | 2 | Osimertinib + aspirin | - ORR | Not yet recruiting | | |
| Ш | NCT04316351 | Anti-PD-1 mAb, multitarget TKI | EGFR mutated with T790M | ≥3 | Toripalimab + pemetrexed + anlotinib | - ORR | Not yet recruiting | | |

AE, adverse events; CT, chemotherapy; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; LAT, locally ablative therapy; mAb, monoclonal antibody; MDT, maximum tolerated dose; NSAID, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; ORR, overall response rate; OS, overall survival; PARP, poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase; PFS, progression-free survival; RP2D, recommended phase II dose; RR, response rate; TTP, time to progression.

Introduction

- Phase II ORCHARD platform study aims to characterize resistance mechanisms and evaluate novel therapy combinations in patients with advanced EGFRm NSCLC that progressed on 1L Osimertinib
- The anti-PD-1 antibody pembrolizumab was assessed previously in combination with platinum-doublet chemotherapy in 12 patients with EGFRm NSCLC that had progressed on Osimertinib. This combination did not improve outcomes compared with chemotherapy alone.
- Research is needed to confirm if ICIs in combination with other anticancer therapies can overcome the limited efficacy of ICIs alone

Methods

- Biomarker-directed platform study in patients aged ≥18 years old (Japan, ≥20 years old) with locally advanced/metastatic EGFRm NSCLC that progressed on 1L Osimertinib 80 mg once daily (QD) monotherapy.
- Including asymptomatic brain metastases.



- Primary endpoint: ORR confirmed by the investigator using RECIST v1.1
- Secondary endpoints PFS, DOR
- Safety endpoints included adverse events (AEs) assessed per Common terminology criteria for adverse events (CTCAE) v5
- •Exploratory endpoints included tumour mutational burden (TMB) and molecular aberrations on nextgeneration sequencing (NGS) of tumour samples, and their respective correlation with clinical response

Results

 Between 10 October 2019 and DCO (25 June 2021), 25 patients received ≥1 dose of study treatment in this treatment cohort.

Table 1. Baseline demographics and disease characteristics

| Baseline demographics and disease characteristics | Durvalumab plus chemotherapy (N=25) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Age | | | | |
| Median age, years (range) | 61 (39–77) | | | |
| ≥18–<65 years / ≥65 years, n (%) | 17 (68) / 8 (32) | | | |
| Sex, n (%) | | | | |
| Male / Female | 6 (24) / 19 (76) | | | |
| Race, n (%) | | | | |
| Asian / White | 19 (76) / 6 (24) | | | |
| Smoking status, n (%) | | | | |
| Current / Former / Never | 1 (4) / 9 (36) / 15 (60) | | | |
| WHO performance status, n (%) | | | | |
| 0/1 | 10 (40) / 15 (60) | | | |
| Histology, n (%) | 25 (100) | | | |
| Adenocarcinoma | 25 (100) | | | |
| No. of disease sites, $n (\%)$ | 5 (20) / 20 (80) | | | |
| $1-27 \ge 3$ Mutations n (%) | 3 (20)7 20 (80) | | | |
| Ex19del / L858R / T790M / other* | 10 (40) / 9 (36) / 0 (0) / 4 (16) | | | |
| CNS involvement at study entry, n (%) | | | | |
| No / Yes | 19 (76) / 6 (24) | | | |
| Liver involvement at study entry, n (%) | | | | |
| No / Yes | 21 (84) / 4 (16) | | | |
| Time to progression on first-line | | | | |
| osimertinib therapy, n (%) | | | | |
| <12 months [†] / ≥12 months | 8 (32) / 17 (68) | | | |

*L861Q (n=2), G719S (n=2); †>3months and <12 months

Patient Disposition & Relative dose intensity

- At data cut off, all patients received ≥75% relative dose intensity for each study drug, and 22 patients (88%) had discontinued all treatments.
- Median treatment duration was 5.3 months (range, 0.9–14.3) for durvalumab and pemetrexed, and 2.9 months (range, 0.7–5.1) for carboplatin
- The median follow-up period was 9.7 months (range, 1.3–18.5) in overall survival patients.

Progression-free survival

 Median PFS was 4.8 months (95% confidence interval [CI]: 2.6, 7.6); PFS rate at six months was 37.5% (95% CI: 19.0, 56.0; Figure 2)



Figure 2: Progression-free survival PFS-6 month, PFS rate at six months

Response Rates

- All 25 patients had measurable disease at baseline.
- Confirmed ORR was 3/25 (12%; all confirmed partial responses); 17/25 (68%) patients had stable disease (≥six weeks) including six (24%) with unconfirmed partial responses.
- Four (16%) patients had disease progression and one (4%) was not evaluable (Table 2)

| Table 2. ORR and best objective response | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| ORR | Durvalumab plus chemotherapy (N=25) | | | | |
| ORR, n (%; 80% CI) | 3 (12; 4.5, 24.8) | | | | |
| Best objective response, n (%) | | | | | |
| Confirmed complete response Confirmed partial response Stable disease ≥6 weeks Unconfirmed partial response Stable disease | 0 3 (12) 17 (68) 6 (24) 11 (44) | | | | |
| RECIST 1.1 disease progression | 4 (16) | | | | |
| Death | 0 | | | | |
| Not evaluable Incomplete post-baseline assessments | 1 (4) 1 (4) | | | | |

CI, confidence interval; ORR, objective response rate

Duration of response

- Median DoR in patients with a confirmed partial response (n=3) was 12.2 months
- Duration of treatment in all patients according to response type is presented in **Figure 3**
- There was no clear correlation between length of time on prior 1L Osimertinib and best response with durvalumab plus chemotherapy



#, patient received 1L osimertinib for ≥100 weeks 1L, first line

Safety and tolerability

- Most AEs were mild or moderate in severity.
- There were no interstitial lung disease events
- The most common Grade ≥3 AEs were Neutropenia (n=5, 20%) and Anemia (n=3, 12%)
- One (4%) patient reported an AE (nausea) resulting in discontinuation of carboplatin
- There were no deaths due to AEs

Exploratory endpoints

- TMB was uniformly low within this particular ORCHARD study cohort (Figure 4)
- NGS identified that TP53 (n=19, 76%) mutations, EGFR amplifications (n=7, 28%), and EGFR secondary mutations (n=3, 12%) were the most common aberrations
- There was no association between best response and EGFR sensitizing mutation type



One patient had no best change from baseline recorded as they discontinued due to an SAE E: Patient progressed on 1L osimertinib in <12 months; L: patient progressed on 1L osimertinib in ≥12 months; *patients who had CNS involvement; x, patient discontinued treatment



*Black boxes indicate TMB was low; white boxes indicate that TMB was not evaluable; there were no other TMB categories; grey lines indicate that TMB was not tested **Circulating tumour DNA only

Figure 4: Correlation of TMB and genomics with response type A) Best objective response per patient; B) TMB per patient

AKT1, AKT serine/threonine kinase 1; ALK, anaplastic lymphoma kinase, serine/threonine kinase; AMP, amplification; CNS, central nervous system; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; ERBB2, Erb-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2; MUT, mutation; PTEN, phosphatase and tensinhomolog; PIK3CA, phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha; RB1, retinoblastoma gene; RBM10, RNA binding motif protein 10; SAE, serious adverse event; sens, sensitive; SMARCA4, SWI/SNF related, matrix-associated, actin-dependent regulator of chromatin, subfamily a, member 4; uncom, uncommon; TP53, tumor protein P53; 1L, first-line

Conclusions

- According to study stop criteria (<10% chance that objective response rate [ORR] is ≥45%), recruitment was closed for this specific ORCHARD study arm
- Durvalumab plus chemotherapy was well tolerated with no new or unexpected safety signals
- Further biomarker analyses are ongoing to better understand the efficacy data concerning use of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) plus chemotherapy in this particular patient population
- The ORCHARD study continues to evaluate other novel therapy combinations in biomarker-matched and non-biomarker matched patients with advanced EGFRm NSCLC that progressed on 1L Osimertinib.

My take...

- This data was consistent with known fact that mEGFR NSCLC will be having very poor chance of benefit from IO therapy.
- If any clinical trial is available will be preferred option in this group of the patients.
- Chemotherapy Platinum based doublet is the preferred choice.

•THANK YOU